Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	١

JAMES MCGANN,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF SAUSALITO, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 22-cv-02042-EMC

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION TO PROCEED *IN RMA PAUPERIS*: DENYING AINTIFF'S MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER; AND ORDER TO SHOW **CAUSE**

Docket Nos. 2-3

Currently pending before the Court is Plaintiff James McGann's application to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP") and motion for a temporary restraining order ("TRO").

Based on the financial affidavit filed by Mr. McGann, the Court GRANTS the motion to proceed IFP. The Court also orders the U.S. Marshals' Office to serve this order, the summons and complaint on Defendants because it appears Mr. McGann may have a viable claim for relief. He alleges, in effect, that he has been denied the ability to stay at the Marinship Park encampment and has nowhere else to go. See generally Martin v. City of Boise, 920 F.3d 584 (9th Cir. 2019). This ruling, however, does not preclude Defendants from filing, e.g., a 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss Mr. McGann's case.

Turning to the TRO motion, it appears that the motion is moot. The City has provided evidence that Mr. McGann has found alternative housing. See Gregory Decl. ¶ 7 (testifying that Mr. McGann leased a room on March 31, 2022, and that Mr. McGann's aunt confirmed on April 8, 2022, that he was currently housed). Accordingly, the motion is **DENIED** but without prejudice.

Case 3:22-cv-02042-EMC Document 16 Filed 04/15/22 Page 2 of 2

United States District Court Northern District of California

Finally, the Court notes that, in his complaint, the only relief Mr. McGann sought was that he "be allowed to camp at Marinship Park." Compl. ¶ V. Now that Mr. McGann has found alternative housing, it appears that his case – in its entirety – is moot. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ORDERS** Mr. McGann **TO SHOW CAUSE** as to why his case should not be dismissed based on mootness grounds. Mr. McGann shall file a response by **May 2, 2022**. The Court forewarns Mr. McGann that, if he fails to file a timely response to this order to show cause, then the Court shall automatically instruct the Clerk of the Court to enter a final judgment and close the file in this case.

This order disposes of Docket Nos. 2 and 3.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 15, 2022

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge